

Problem 1

① (Sakurai 1-12)

a) From last homework: $|\hat{n}, +\rangle = \cos \frac{\beta}{2} |+\rangle + e^{i\alpha} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} |-\rangle$

Since \hat{n} lies in x - z plane and makes angle γ with positive x -axis,

$$|\hat{n}, +\rangle = \cos \frac{\gamma}{2} |+\rangle + \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} |-\rangle$$

then,

$$\langle \hat{x}; + | \hat{n}; + \rangle = \left[\frac{\langle + | + \rangle \langle - | - \rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \left[\cos \frac{\gamma}{2} |+\rangle + \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} |-\rangle \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\cos \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{probability} \equiv |\langle \hat{x}; + | \hat{n}; + \rangle|^2 = \frac{1 + \sin \gamma}{2}$$

b) $\langle (S_x - \langle S_x \rangle)^2 \rangle = \langle S_x^2 \rangle - \langle S_x \rangle^2$

Use 1.4.18 in Sakurai to calculate above expectation values:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_x \rangle &= \langle \hat{n}; + | S_x | \hat{n}; + \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[\cos \frac{\gamma}{2} \langle + | + \rangle + \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \langle - | - \rangle \right] \left[\cos \frac{\gamma}{2} |+\rangle + \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} |-\rangle \right] \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin \gamma \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle S_x^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \langle \hat{n}; + | S_x | \left(\cos \frac{\gamma}{2} |-\rangle + \sin \frac{\gamma}{2} |+\rangle \right) \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle (S_x - \langle S_x \rangle)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} (1 - \sin^2 \gamma) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \cos^2 \gamma$$

| γ | dispersion |
|----------|-------------|
| 0 | $\hbar^2/4$ |
| $\pi/2$ | 0 |
| π | $\hbar^2/4$ |

Problem 2

② (Sakurai 1-20)

From the symmetry of $\langle (\Delta S_x)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta S_y)^2 \rangle$ it is obvious that the product will be maximized if $\langle (\Delta S_x)^2 \rangle = \langle (\Delta S_y)^2 \rangle = \text{const.}$ Therefore, we need to find a state which treats S_x and S_y →

S_y the same way. The state which has no preference to S_x over S_y is eigenstate of S_z . We find that $\pm|+\rangle$ and $\pm|-\rangle$ will maximize the above product.

From previous problem, $\langle (\Delta S_x)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}$ with $\theta=0$ or π .

$$\Rightarrow \langle (\Delta S_x)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta S_y)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^4}{16}$$

In order to calculate the commutator, we use the following:

$$[S_x, S_y] = i\hbar S_z$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle [S_x, S_y] \rangle = i\hbar \langle S_z \rangle = i \frac{\hbar^2}{2}, \text{ or } |\langle [S_x, S_y] \rangle|^2 = \frac{\hbar^4}{4}$$

Finally we get the equality:

$$\langle (\Delta S_x)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta S_y)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} |\langle [S_x, S_y] \rangle|^2. \text{ So, the uncertainty relationship for } S_x \text{ and } S_y \text{ is not violated.}$$

Problem 3

3. a) $\langle (\Delta S_x)^2 \rangle = 0$ since we have eigenstate of S_z . Therefore, left side of 1.4.53 = 0, and $\langle [S_z, S_x] \rangle = i\hbar \langle S_y \rangle = 0$. So, right side of 1.4.53 = 0 and the equation is correct.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } \langle [S_z, S_x] \rangle &= \langle S_z S_x - S_x S_z \rangle = \\ &= \langle (S_z - \langle S_z \rangle)(S_x - \langle S_x \rangle) + (S_x - \langle S_x \rangle)(S_z - \langle S_z \rangle) \rangle \\ &= \langle (S_z - \frac{\hbar}{2})S_x + S_x(S_z - \frac{\hbar}{2}) \rangle = \langle S_z S_x + S_x S_z - \hbar S_x \rangle \\ &= \underline{\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$$

Since the anti-commutator vanishes, the uncertainty relation still holds.

Problem 4

Let us recall the defining properties of the (generalized) function $\delta(x)$:

$$\delta(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \neq 0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

$$\int \delta(x) dx = 1 \quad , \quad (2)$$

$$\int f(x) \delta(x) dx = f(0) \quad . \quad (3)$$

Formulas (2) and (3) are valid for any integration domain containing the point $x = 0$. Without loss of generality we will assume it to be $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Equipped with these formulas we can study the general expression

$$I \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \delta(g(x)) dx \quad , \quad (4)$$

where the function $g(x)$ is assumed to have simple zeroes at the locations x_i :

$$g(x_i) = 0 \quad , \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad , \quad (5)$$

$$\left(\frac{dg}{dx} \right)_{x=x_i} \neq 0 \quad . \quad (6)$$

Making repeated use of property (1) we can rewrite (4) as follows:

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_i-\epsilon}^{x_i+\epsilon} f(x) \delta(g(x)) dx \quad , \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small.

We now change the integration variable in (7):

$$y = g(x) \quad , \quad dy = \frac{dg}{dx} dx \quad . \quad (8)$$

We get

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{g(x_i-\epsilon)}^{g(x_i+\epsilon)} f(g^{-1}(y)) \delta(y) \frac{dy}{dg/dx} \quad . \quad (9)$$

Notice that, as a consequence of (6), the inverse function g^{-1} is well defined in a neighbourhood of x_i .

Now the prescription (3) tells us to set everywhere $y = 0$, or $x = x_i$. There is one subtlety left, though:

- if $\left(\frac{dg}{dx}\right)_{x=x_i} > 0$, then $g(x_i + \epsilon) > g(x_i - \epsilon)$;
- if $\left(\frac{dg}{dx}\right)_{x=x_i} < 0$, then $g(x_i + \epsilon) < g(x_i - \epsilon)$.

With this we can finally write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \delta(g(x)) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{dg}{dx} \right|_{x=x_i}^{-1} f(x_i) . \quad (10)$$

With the above general result at hand, we can immediately write the following formulas:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \delta(ax) dx = \frac{1}{|a|} f(0) , \quad (11)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \delta(x^2 - a^2) dx = \frac{1}{2|a|} [f(-a) + f(a)] . \quad (12)$$

Let us now address the question about the derivative of the δ -function:

$$I' \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \frac{d\delta(x)}{dx} dx . \quad (13)$$

Integrating by parts we can write

$$I' = [f(x)\delta(x)]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{df}{dx} \delta(x) dx . \quad (14)$$

The integrated term vanishes because of (1) and the remaining integral is evaluated by means of (3). So we finally establish the following:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \frac{d\delta(x)}{dx} dx = - \left(\frac{df}{dx} \right)_{x=0} . \quad (15)$$

Problem ~~6~~ 5

We start with proving that

$$[x, p^n] = i\hbar n p^{n-1} \quad (28)$$

Using the fact that

$$[A, BC] = B[A, C] + [A, B]C \quad (29)$$

we immediately find that (28) holds for $n = 2$:

$$[x, p^2] = p[x, p] + [x, p]p = 2i\hbar p \quad ; \quad (30)$$

we can also write

$$[x, p^n] = p[x, p^{n-1}] + [x, p]p^{n-1} \quad , \quad (31)$$

which, assuming the property (28) holds for $n=n-1$, implies the assert:

$$[x, p^n] = i\hbar n p^{n-1} \quad , \quad (32)$$

thus completing our proof by induction.

We can now calculate any commutator of the form

$$[x, F(p)] \quad , \quad (33)$$

where the operator valued function $F(p)$ is defined through its Taylor expansion:

$$F(p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p^n \quad (34)$$

Inserting (34) into (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x, F(p)] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n [x, p^n] \\ &= i\hbar \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n p^{n-1} \\ &= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p^n \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

which is nothing but

$$[x, F(p)] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} F(p) \quad (36)$$

Let us now consider the action of the operator $F_a(p) = e^{-iap/\hbar}$ on a position eigenstate $|x'\rangle$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} x e^{-iap/\hbar} |x'\rangle &= e^{-iap/\hbar} x |x'\rangle + [x, e^{-iap/\hbar}] |x'\rangle \\ &= x' e^{-iap/\hbar} |x'\rangle + a e^{-iap/\hbar} |x'\rangle \\ &= (x' + a) e^{-iap/\hbar} |x'\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where (36) has been used to calculate $[x, e^{-iap/\hbar}]$. Eq. (37) tells us that $e^{-iap/\hbar} |x'\rangle$ is still an eigenstate of position corresponding to the eigenvalue $x' + a$ (a restatement of the fact that the momentum is the generator of translations). Since there is no constraint on a , we conclude that the spectrum of the position operator is continuous.

We can now run a specular argument interchanging the role of x and p . Starting from

$$[p, G(x)] = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x) \quad (38)$$

and considering the action of $G(x) = e^{ikx/\hbar}$ on the momentum eigenstate $|p\rangle$, one finds that $G(x) |p\rangle$ is still a momentum eigenstate corresponding to the eigenvalue $p + k$, which implies that the spectrum of momentum is continuous too.

We can see that the argument above fails in the case of a particle confined in an infinite potential well: there the position spectrum is truncated, $0 \leq x' \leq L$, therefore the translation operator annihilates some of the states.

Indeed, the Hilbert space of square integrable wavefunctions on the segment is spanned by a discrete plane wave basis.

Notice that the boundary condition $\psi(0) = \psi(L) = 0$ makes the problem even more pathological: ordinary plane waves cannot be physical states. This can also be understood on the basis of Heisenberg undeterminacy principle: since Δx is of the order L , the expectation value of the momentum in any state cannot vanish, thereby forbidding momentum eigenstates.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} F_a(p) |x\rangle &= F_a(p) \hat{x} |x\rangle + a F_a(p) |x\rangle \\ &= (x + a) F_a(p) |x\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow F_a(p) |x\rangle = |x + a\rangle; \text{ "shift operator"}$$

Problem 7 6

(a) Let us start calculating $\langle p \rangle$ using the position space wavefunction (1.7.35):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle p \rangle &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \exp\left(-ikx' - \frac{x'^2}{2d^2}\right) \left(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}\right) \exp\left(ikx' - \frac{x'^2}{2d^2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{-i\hbar}{\pi^{1/2}d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \left(ik - \frac{x'}{d^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x'^2}{d^2}\right) \\
 &= \hbar k \quad .
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle p^2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \exp\left(-ikx' - \frac{x'^2}{2d^2}\right) \left(-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) \exp\left(ikx' - \frac{x'^2}{2d^2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{\pi^{1/2}d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \left(-k^2 - \frac{1}{d^2} + \frac{x'^2}{d^4}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x'^2}{d^2}\right) \\
 &= \hbar^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{d^2}\right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2d^2} \\
 &= \hbar^2 k^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2d^2} \quad ,
 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

so that

$$\langle (\Delta p)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2d^2} \quad . \tag{56}$$

(b) The same result can be obtained more easily using the momentum space representation (1.7.42):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle p \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' p' |\phi(p')|^2 \\
 &= \frac{d}{\hbar\pi^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' p' \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(p' - \hbar k)^2}{2\hbar^2}\right) \\
 &= \hbar k \quad ;
 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' p'^2 |\phi(p')|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{d}{\hbar\pi^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' p'^2 \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(p' - \hbar k)^2}{2\hbar^2}\right) \\
&= \hbar^2 k^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2d^2} \quad , \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the standard integrals quoted on the assignment sheet.

Finally, let us examine the expression

$$I \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \exp\left(-\frac{x'^2}{2d^2} - ix' \left(\frac{p'}{\hbar} - k\right)\right) \quad . \quad (59)$$

(c) Completing the square one can write

$$I = \exp\left(-\frac{d^2}{2\hbar^2}(p' - \hbar k)^2\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{x'}{d} + id \left(\frac{p'}{\hbar} - k\right)\right]^2\right\} \quad . \quad (60)$$

Now we can define a new integration variable

$$y \equiv \frac{x'}{d} + id \left(\frac{p'}{\hbar} - k\right) \quad . \quad (61)$$

At this point the integral has to be calculated in the complex plane along a line parallel to the real axis. By analyticity though, one can shift the path back to the real axis (in the variable y), so that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \exp\left(-\frac{d^2}{2\hbar^2}(p' - \hbar k)^2\right) d \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y^2\right) \\
&= \sqrt{2\pi}d \exp\left(-\frac{d^2}{2\hbar^2}(p' - \hbar k)^2\right) \quad , \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

which completes our proof of formula (1.7.42).